

Complaint #7

Community never polled about spending
tens of thousands on clear-cutting wetlands and
Board would not commit to doing a poll when asked

These documents show the HOA Board does not respect the homeowners' right to have a voice in how HOA funds are allotted. Marie says "we were voted into office to make decisions for the community."

HOA Board Meeting synopsis 2016/09/19

HOA Board Meeting synopsis 2016/11/28

2016/09/19 HOA Board mtg Synopsis

September 19, 2016, 7 PM

7:03 PM - Begin recording

Opening Ceremonies (+ 00:00 minutes from the start = 7:03PM)

Roll Call - Matt McCants, Marie Callerame, Tom Kelly, Ron Boyce

Audience members identify themselves

Approval of various minutes from various meetings.

Report of the officers

Report of the Committees

ACC - (+08:31 = 7:10 PM)

Nothing

Communication - Marie Callerame:

Draft of survey not finished. There is minor progress on the password protected section of the web page

Internal Audit Committee - (+11:00) -

Various folks have been contacted.

Land Use Committee - (+12:00)

Steve Bang: a meeting was held. The minutes will be posted. They discussed the picnic area. Gathering ideas.

Bylaws update Committee - (+13:00)

Tom Kelly: a meeting was held. Committee is reviewing draft of changes.

RFP - (+14:00)

Matt McCants: Two companies responded to the RFP: John McConnaughey and Ecological Land Services (not one of the companies identified at last month's meeting as two of the firms the RFP was sent to).

Common Area Committee Part 1 - (+15:00)

Matt McCants: The city has said that the HOA **must** do a delineation study. The City is doing CYA. In the city's opinion, the area is a wetland and the first step is to do a delineation study. **Matt McCants (+16:40) says that: "We are ruled by the CC&Rs that say we have to maintain that area and we are also ruled by the CC&Rs that say we have to increase or maintain property values."...** "The approach from the board for the last, since its inception has been a do-not-touch, but yet we have documents that say that we are required to maintain. Maintenance does not always mean do nothing."... "We have an extreme liability down there." The cost will be \$4,000 to \$6,000 for a determination study and \$9,000 to \$11,000 for the delineation study.

Dan Foster (+19:11) We have a lot of other issues that take priority... we voted on this at the annual meeting and decided it was not a proper use of our resources. Matt McCants says: the proxies did not have proper information... such as the impact on property values, mosquitoes... So we need to fully look at it. Marie and Matt carry on a long discussion about whether we have a natural wetland, manmade wetland or stormwater facility. Dan Foster (+26:30) "Why does something need to be done?" Matt McCants: "Because we believe that it has an impact on property values." Dan Foster: "My property values have gone up." Dan Foster asks: "Has anyone objected to the way the HOA has maintained the wetlands, storm drain system?" (Dan references: The City of Camas, Washington Department of Ecology, The Army Corps of Engineers...) Apparently no one has objected. **Dan Foster: "I think you are searching, you're trying to create a problem where none exists. I don't think we have a problem to solve."**

Dan Foster asks: Who on the board considers that they have a view? Dan asks for a poll, Who on the board has a view of the lake? 100% of the current four board members admit that they have view lots and that their home value would be increased by improving views. Marie Callerame (+28:00) says: "None of the people on the prior board had any view issues, because they did not have any views of the lake. And, people who are on the current board do have view issues because they do have a view of the lake. ... The last board didn't want to do anything and the current board is trying to do something. ... People come to Lacamas Shores because it is a view neighborhood." **Dan Foster: "I think it does depend on basically what the majority of the community wants. And my concern is maybe this is something that only affects a minority of the community. Yet we might be spending thousands of dollars to benefit what we may perceive as a view. That is the issue." Nothing Decided.**

Common Area Committee Part 2 - (+29:50)

The discussion now changes to view options through the Conservancy Zone - Matt McCants (+31:19): "We do have, we feel, as a board, opportunities to work with the city in areas where the HOA Common Area is adjacent to the Conservancy Zone. Considering that we gave them the property, **we quick [sic] deeded them property in order to maintain views of the rooftops and windows of the community of the development. Of which, they seem a bit confused on, but they have agreed that the deed of dedication is the governing document.**"

Common Area Committee Part 3 - (+34:00)

The discussion now changes to whether the board will get a vote of the community to spend HOA funds to change the Wetlands/Conservancy Zone maintenance issues when there was a vote of the community at the annual meeting... not to do so. Marie Callerame says that: "Anybody in this room can offer to serve on any committee." Linda Harnish says: You did not answer the question... and restates the question for Marie. Matt: "In my opinion, no. My opinion is, we were voted into office to make decisions for the community." And that every time we need to go make a financial decision I don't believe it is our responsibility to go ask the membership again ..." Marion Jackson clarifies the question: **"So the vote of the people at the annual meeting, you're discounting and saying that you can do whatever the hell they want to do."** Matt says:

“We’re moving on to the next question.” Steve Bang asks (+38:50): Whether or not it would be OK with a majority vote of the people... to paint our houses pink with green polka dots. Steve Marrinan: “The city has already approved you removing ... invasive species in the wetland ... what else do you want to do in that wetland? is your goal to take down trees blocking views?” Matt discusses blackberries, mosquitos and invasive species. “Cutting trees — yes, I would expect that some trees need and should come down in that area ... Some ... I didn’t say a couple, I said some.” Marie Callerame says (+41:35): “We haven’t even surveyed the members to see what they want.” Linda Harnish (+42:06) asks: “The survey that was mailed to all the home owners last year... and they had an opportunity to respond to ... so that one doesn’t count, but your survey will count? Because you have already deemed that all those votes taken on the ballot for the annual meeting were useless ... (here, Matt and Marie interrupt).” Matt asks (+42:40): About the vote at the annual meeting.... was it a vote or a voter survey? **Nothing Decided.**

Old Business (+47:00 = 8:50 PM)

Boat Dock Maintenance (+47:00): Rich Geenty says it’s tough to get people to give an estimate on work there ... they’re all booked pretty far out. Ron Boyce has talked with Gecho. Discussion of getting a permit and that any work needs to be done during the yearly draw-down in September. Tom Kelly: We need to get after it for next year... We need an RFP to do this thing right. Matt McCants asks (+54:00): “Do you think we have more boaters in the community than view lots in the community?” Dan Foster says: When I bought a house in this community, I was told I did have access to a boat launch. Nobody promised me a view.” Marie Callerame makes a motion. The content of her motion is discussed. Matt McCants says: we just need to move forward. **Nothing Decided.**

Traffic (+59:00):

Steve Bang is questioned on progress. Casey Watrous discusses stop signs. Sign placement is discussed. Maybe the city will pay for them. The radar signs are the best, but the cost for them was voted down at the annual meeting. **Nothing Decided.**

Gecho Landscaping (+1:03:00):

A discussion on shake roofs. Some talk about the trees chosen. **Nothing Decided.**

Complaint Form (+1:06:38):

Marie Callerame got some feedback from the ACC committee. **Nothing Decided.**

Heritage Trail Beautification (+1:06:55):

The boy scouts will begin doing something soon. They will have their own trash can. We will give them access to the soccer field.

New Business (+1:10:00):

Question about a “golf ball barrier” for a neighbor who has a back yard facing the golf course. No HOA interest here. The folks will be referred to the golf course.

Richard Arnold reads a letter from Allen Yordy (+1:13:15):

The gist of the letter is that the Community is governed by a hierarchy of laws and oversight: Federal, State, City.... in that order. Our CC&Rs do not permit nor require us to do anything forbidden by higher authorities. **The HOA must act only with clear permission in making any changes to the existing wetland. To ignore the existing maintenance understandings for the wetlands exposes the whole community to legal expense and sanctions.** Further, the cost of any studies, determinations, or changes to the wetlands must be borne by those who seek to increase the value of their homes by improving views. It is unfair to burden the whole community for the benefit of just a few.

Replacement of directors (+1:16:04):

Steve Bang, Pat Lambert have offered to serve on the board. Some comments from the floor: "A Shock and Awe moment for the neighborhood that 1/2 of the board just resigned".... "How muddy is the water".... "Are there alligators?" Another comment... What happened? From the floor: Janine Smith & Kalani Davis offer to serve on the board. Matt McCants: makes a motion to wait another month before anyone is appointed to replace the vacancies. **Passed.**

Cindi Marrinan (+1:23:00):

A neighbor topped some trees on HOA property. Someone put a foot bridge over the swale behind the Ontkean's home. Someone sprayed herbicide on some HOA trees. She sent an email to the board with pictures... Any action taken? **Nothing Decided.**

A discussion of Landscape issues:

Comments from the floor: Blackberry vines on some properties. Some properties are looking ratty. John Wiley is parking his RV in the street again. John's RV has been damaged and the neighborhood is looking like a junk yard. The fine schedule is brought up and discussed. **Linda Harnish (+1:34:11): "Are you saying that you will not do anything until you have your complaint process enacted?"**... A discussion on due process follows. A discussion of anonymous complaints follows. **Nothing Decided.**

The Executive session (+1:41:00= 8:44PM):

- Complaints regarding properties
- Ontkean Update
- Domain names discussion

Executive Session Summary

- -----
- -----

=====

I have tried to avoid editorializing here in the synopsis. I have been asked for a summation. Yes, I have a lot of opinions regarding what our RLS/HOA Board is doing in the name of the community. See the forum section of the Community Web Page.

You may need to sign up for the owner's area. It is easy. Just go here

<<http://lacamas-shores.com/owners/login.php>> and click the Click to Register button.

2016/11/28 HOA Board Meeting Synopsis

November 28, 2016, 7 PM

7:03 PM - Begin recording

Opening Ceremonies (+ 03:51 minutes from the start = 7:07PM)

Roll Call - Matt McCants, Marie Callerame, Tom Kelly, Pat Lambert,
Kalani Davis, Janine Smith.
Absent - Ron Boyce

Proof of Notice of meeting 11-28-16

Reading and approval of minutes of Oct 17, 2016

Report of the Officers (+07:45 = 7:10 PM)

President -

Matt McCants: Nothing

Treasurer -

Matt McCants for Ron Boyce: Reviews the bank accounts.
on hand \$105K, expenses YTD = \$75K... A bit over/under budget here and
there. Pretty much on budget YTD.

-

Cindi Marrinan: Where are the expenses for the web site in the financials? Marie
says that they are in discussion with Laurie the bookkeeper on how to report
these expenses. The FTO (A web optimization company) is the most expensive
part at ~\$150 per month.

-

Matt McCants: Proposed 2017 budget has been sent out to the board.
Questions on the projections... on the "Component Funding Model"?

-

Matt McCants: As the CC&Rs allow, the board can authorize a 6% increase in
the yearly assessment without input from the membership.

Moved/seconded/voted. Approved

... some subsequent discussion... It is about funding the Reserve Fund, which
will need to be increased every year due to inflation... Perhaps we will need to
raise the assessment for the next 3 years to cover anticipated expenditures and
maintenance.

-

Cindi M: Could you put the Reserve Study on the web site?

-
Marie says: yes.

Report of the Committees (+22:30 = 7:25 PM)

L/ACC - (+22:31) -
Tom Kelly: Nothing

Communication - (+23:00) -

Marie Callerame: A survey is being constructed. Another discussion on people being confused over where to get information. (Old web site vs the Official HOA web site.) The official web site is not the top entry on a Google search.

-
Karin Lukins - (+29:58) Why is there this confusion over these different web sites? What is the history?

-
Matt McCants answers: "The HOA has a web site officially recognized by the board. In years past the old web site was Catherine Arnold's and when this board was elected we asked if she'd like to stay on, and she decided she did not want to stay on. We asked her to relinquish the domain names from the web site, but she did not do that. The Arnold's has changed the name to the Community web site and posts anything she may choose. So we had no choice but to set up our own web site. (Discussion between Marie Callerame and Matt) The old domain names that were the officially recognized web site, the Arnold's believe that they own those and they decided to keep. And other than that maybe Richard can answer that question?..."

(This is a misleading and inaccurate report of what happened at the 2016/04/18 HOA Board meeting)

-
Richard Arnold (+31:51): "Sure I can. I don't know really where to start. First of all..."

Matt McCants interrupts: "A short answer."

-
Richard Arnold: "No. You don't deserve it."

-
Matt McCants: "OK. Thank you. That's the answer. Let's move on"
Motion to send out the member survey. Seconded/voted/passed.

Internal Audit Committee - (+32:46) -

Robert & Kathleen Price: We have finished our audit and are ready to show it to the board. Some recommendations...

-The bank seems to have an extra account. No one seems to know what it is. We need to close it.

-There were in the past, some checks written without having the reason recorded and no board approval. No approval process for these expenses exists. Mostly hand written by the treasurer.

-Appreciation gifts were handed out for various reasons, but the Bylaws prohibit this. Ditto for the welcome gifts from Mrs Haller.

-

(The \$5 account is required by Unitus as sort of a “basic” account. The Money Market and the Checking accounts are in some way, dependent on the “basic” account being there.

The hand written checks were for immediate expenses, like for the climbing wall we hired for the 4th of July picnic. Sometimes, the vendors needed payment rather than an accounts receivable entry. All hand written checks were signed by 2 board members and were very rarely used. Later, we just paid the vendors with our personal checking and submitted the receipts for reimbursement.)

Common Area Land Use Committee - (+43:40)

Steve Bang is absent.

Marie Callerame: Nothing on the picnic area.

Robert Price: Some recommendations on the East entrance and the main entrance on Lake Road. Mostly trimming. Pictures were discussed.

Some discussion on the bushes on Walden.

-

Tom Kelley says the bushes need to be cut down 24 inches.

-

Cindi Marrinan shares some concern from the Bailey’s that such a trimming will likely kill the bushes. So are you ready to incur the cost of removing the existing and buying/planting new bushes? Plus the severely trimmed bushes will be unsightly for a season.

-

Vote to trim the bushes East & West entrance: motion/second/voted approved.

Re the bushes on Walden: They are too high. People often stop there to enjoy the view and make cell phone calls. (Cell phone reception on Lacamas, El Rey and Michaelbrook is bad.)

-

Paula Ketelsen: A comment from the floor that the board should poll there neighborhood before they incur additional costs for the benefit of people who do not live in the neighborhood, plus she likes the bushes. Steve Marrinan: the people who live beyond the bushes need the bushes for privacy.(James & Kathy Patitucci. Also, to my recollection, the Patitucci property goes all the way to the curb and the bushes, though planted by the developer, now belong to them.) Reduce the hedge to 24 inches: motion/second passed with one NAY vote.

iRFP/RFQ updates - (+1:03.05)

Matt McCants: Did everybody get a chance to review the committee recommendations? Any questions?

Vote to recommend hiring the ETC Corporation (John McCoughney, M.S., PWS) as a consultant to do the Determination Study: motion/second voted passed.

Bylaws update Committee - (+1:03:21)

Matt McCants: we sent this out for a review of the homeowners and we received no comments.

Vote to adopt the Bylaws update: motion/second voted passed.

-

Steve Marrinan (+1:06:50): Are you voting to send these updates to the membership based on your agenda, or are you voting to approve them right now?

-

Matt McCants: "That was done last month. There was an error in the agenda, we voted to accept them. They were sent to you Steve to look at."

-

Steve Marrinan: "BALONEY!"

-

Marie Callerame (+1:07:30): It was sent out as part of the EBLAST (??) to the neighborhood.

(... an argument breaks out)

-

Steve Marrinan (+1:09:13): These people are telling you that they did not receive this notice! How many responses did you get from the membership? I'll bet... zero. What does that tell you?

-

Matt McCants: No one was interested.

-

Steve Marrinan: No, that tells you that no one received your notice.

-

Richard Bolger (+1:10:57): It sounds to me that communications are really a problem. But, You are just rolling along making decisions and the rest of us...

(Matt Interrupts)

-

Matt McCants: Interrupts and talks about EBLASTs and forming committees. He asks for Mr Bolger's name, and says that someone shows up at ONE MEETING and then wants to go back 60 days in time and questions what's already been done, but don't want to help and doesn't get involved. ...Do you want to be a volunteer? (Richard Bolger has attended several recent board meetings)

-

Richard Bolger: I've lived here for twenty years... and I have that right. I don't want too get involved in this, but I am a voter in this HOA and I do want to know what is going on.

-

Matt McCants: The majority of what we do is posted on our web site.
<lacamasshoreshoa.org> Don't be confused with other web sites. And the information is there.

-

Marie Callerame (+1:12:21): the information is not on the web site.. you have to sign up for notices. (the EBLASTs!) The Pop Warner team won the regional championships. Sorry about the website/emails...

-

Paula Ketelsen (+1:14:21): "So, there is part of your web site that allows us to sign up to receive information?"

-

MarieCallerame: discusses the contents of the EBLASTs.

-

Paula Ketelsen: But, becoming a member of that web site should not determine if you get information or not. If there is important information that needs to be sent to the membership, you shouldn't hide it.

-

Marie Callerame: We used to use the VIEWS newsletter for this sort of information. Mailing now cost us ~\$800. (*The VIEWS editors quit in April 2016. The current board has killed both the online version of the VIEWS and the printed versions. We printed and mailed the VIEWS, when necessary, with the required HOA announcements to the membership to meet state law requirements. The usual printing costs were less than \$200 and postage was \$100~\$150. Later we printed and mailed postcards that pointed to the detail announcement material on the web site.*)

-

Cindi Marrinan (+1:18:57): "So the one thing you voted on before the Bylaws that you did really fast was to vote on John McCoughney as your wetlands study person. And there is no discussion to us to know how much this will cost. It is an un-budgeted item, and that information did not go out to the membership. How do you plan on paying for that?"

-

Marie Callerame: It's actually on the web site... (un-intelligible)...

-

Cindi Marrinan: No it isn't. I've looked at the committee thing... I didn't see any numbers there.

-

Matt McCants interrupts (+1:20:24): Any other changes to make to the Bylaws. 2nd?

Vote to adopt the Bylaws changes: motion/second voted passed with 1 nay vote.

-

Janine Smith: I just have a question. This just came up at the session... about vote by mail.

-

Marie discusses: Those who attend the annual meeting can change their vote depending on the discussions at the meeting. (Apparently proxies will still be OK)

-

Janine Smith: I'm still against it and I'll tell you why. This is a neighborhood of people who take vacations, they're not in of town... and to say that you can't vote by mail is wrong.

-

Matt McCants: Janine, you already voted to accept the changes.

-

Tom Kelly: makes some comments about the Special Meeting in November 2015.

-

Janine Smith (+1:25:05): When the discussion came up... that many people thought when they signed off on their ballot, at the meeting, where the question was on there about the wetlands study, that many people thought that, No they didn't want to do that and that nobody wanted that. ...and at the September meeting you walked up and said "that wasn't a vote, that was just an opinion survey, that doesn't matter, we're just going to do this anyway". And, I said to myself: Really? I'm just sitting in the audience listening to this and wondering, what gave you the idea that you could do this?

-

Matt McCants: The CCRs give the board the authority to make decisions in the best interests of the HOA.

-

Paula Ketelsen (+1:26:30): They (The membership) have given you... an approved budget and if you're going to exceed that approved budget, with no idea how much that study will cost. They have to vote to approve it... Because all the people out here are questioning what you are doing. I'm just saying this is enough. You can not take away the right of these people to send in a ballot by mail. (Multiple interruptions during this comment from the floor.)

-

Matt: I just want you to know, that's where it's at.

-

Paula Ketelsen (+1:29:15): OK, so can you answer Cindi's question about how much that's going to cost, the study you just voted on to hire... is going to cost?

-

Cindi Marrinan: And it's not budgeted, so where is the money coming from?

-

Matt McCants: There's money left in this current budget, It will be under Professional Services.

-

Cindi Marrinan: "OK, so we're already are in a deficit. so where is the money coming from? Your retained earnings is a NEGATIVE \$47,000,00. (Some interruptions) Let me explain my background for you: I was a Senior Financial Analyst for Kaiser Permanente, Baylor College of Medicine, I was a corporate

comptroller. I do know how to read the financials. And, I was the treasurer for Lacamas Shores for nine years. I fully understand out financials. I am not quite sure that you do. That's why I sent you a complete break down of the financials. To show you there is no money to spend on a wetlands study that was not budgeted for. And the only way that you are going to be able to afford this is if you go out to the homeowners, all of them, and ask them for more money. I really don't think that you are going to get a positive response from the homeowners when you ask them for more money. Especially if you do this underhanded like you just did. You quickly ran that vote through without any one noticing... “

-

Marie Callerame: discusses financial terms: the retained earnings... the reserve account...

-

Cindi: The Reserve Fund is sort of like retained earnings, but the Reserve Fund is set aside for your planed future expenses. So I pointed out to you in that letter that you need to take the Reserve Fund and the Negative Retained Earnings and combine them together. That leaves you with less than the... (interruptions) ... and that means that you do not have enough money really to fully fund the Reserve Fund for 2016.

-

Matt McCants (+1:35:06): complains about attacks from the floor.

-

Cindi Marrinan: We were on the board. You guys attacked the board so much that it was so uncomfortable.

-

Steve Marrinan: Matt, just conduct your business and stop the attacks... (interruptions) So from now on, we'll just let you make your mistakes.

-

Cindi: And, we'll let you hang yourself.

-

Paula Ketelsen (+1:36:20): This wetlands study... it was voted down, and I think most of us knew what we were voting for... Why don't you just hold off, bring it up at the annual meeting again and see if the majority of the home owners really want to do anything with the wetlands... I think most of us see no benefit at all for doing a study there... Why don't you just wait on it?

-

Tom Kelly (+1:38:35) : I have a question for you. If the value of your property, today... if you're happy with it... fine... but if it were tomorrow up 10% higher, and it was not because you doing you did anything to your home, but because we made the neighborhood look better...wouldn't you like that?

-

Paula Ketelsen (+1:39:04): Yes, but I don't think cutting down trees...

-

Tom Kelly interrupts: Oh, but that's the reality here. An assessor came in and he took out three and a half million of valuation...

-
Paula Ketelsen continues: ...bringing in an assessor in and telling them you think all our properties are so much lower that they should be... (Interruption again from Tom Kelly) and since then all our properties have skyrocketed... (Interruptions Tom Kelly and Marie Callerame both)

-
Paula Ketelsen (+1:41:07): I appreciate all the work you guys do. I'm just seeing there is so much controversy over this. That if you do this study, moving forward, just keep that in mind and don't be trying to then spend another 20~25 thousand before you really get it voted on.

-
Marie Callerame (+1:41:28): The boat dock was put in for over 70 thousand dollars, and that was not voted on by the homeowners.

-
Cindi Marrinan: The boat dock was already a budgeted item in the reserve fund. So that was a non-issue... Your wetland study is not a budgeted item.

-
Tom Kelly: "It is now."

-
Cindi Marrinan: No it is not now, did you re-do the Reserve study... and the expense for the study cannot come from the reserve fund.

-
Tom Kelly: "Yes, we can."

Old business (+1:42:50 = 8:44 PM)

Boat Ramp Maintenance - (+1:43:00)

Matt McCants: motion to form a boat ramp Committee.

Traffic Committee - (+1:43:30)

Matt McCants: Steve... you were going to talk with the city.?

-
Steve Marrinan: No, I was going to get together with Casey and that never happened.

Update on Gecho - (+1:44:16)

Matt McCants: We received final inspection from the city. The board has returned the escrow account to Gecho. Finished.

Complaint form - (+1:46:35)

Marie Callerame: She has finished it and posted. Marie is having trouble making the form an "online fillable" PDF. Can anyone help her?

-
... a discussion on where/how to make the form known/available to the membership.

Vote to post the complaint form (somewhere) - : motion/second voted passed.

Heritage Trail Beautification - (+1:47:20)

Matt McCants for Steve Bang who is absent: The city has put a sign up. **Nothing Done.**

Report on Trees falling in the Common Area - (+1:48:15)

Tom Kelly for Ron Boyce who is absent: Done

New Business (+1:48:30 = 8:50 PM)

Eastend property value discussion - (+1:48:45)

Pat Lambert (+1:49:30): (Discusses the over all reduction in property values due to loss of views.)The report that came out the assessment, from the county assessor indicated that the homes had lost \$3,500,000.00 in assessed value because of lost views. The people on the east end, there are 24 homes down there that have their views guaranteed and their view easements by the city, and the city owns the property below your homes, and the Park department has jurisdiction over that property and each of those properties have their views guaranteed through the view shed property... So, think about it. So what we need to do is get of folks together put some pressure on the city... Say, OK views have been diminished, and we want the city to take care of those views to be taken care of by the park department.

-

Paula Ketelsen (+1:50:15): Those of us who live there would like to handle that individually. Some of us like trees. The city will begin development commercial as well as other housing developments across the lake and we don't want a view of a 7-11 across the lake. We don't want the Park Department nor the HOA telling us what's in our back yards. We understand the views issue, but our property assessments have gone up \$150,000.00 not down. The selling prices have gone up tremendously. We'd like our assessments go down even further. Your assessed value does not affect what you can sell your home for. I've never been anywhere where people petition to have our values higher.

-

Pat Lambert (+1:53:30): Our purpose was to inform the people about what their options were.

-

Marie Callerame: It doesn't sound like that you are having any problem in working with the city? Do you cut your own view easements?

-

Paula Ketelsen: No, we ask the city for permission but none of us have had any trouble getting the city to come out and approve what we want to do.

Amended Tree Policy Discussion (+1:54:50) -

Matt McCants: postponed

-

Steve Marrinan: That's fine. Just so long as we get notified before you vote on it.

Someone (+1:56:50): As far as the wetlands thing, did I understand Tom Kelly to say that that would be on next years budget?

-

Matt McCants: ... Yes. Unless we release the guy tomorrow to do the work, the invoice for the (determination) study will not be received till next year.

The Executive session (+2:01:00= 9:03PM):

Walker vs. Barrett discussion
Do Property on Trout Court
Complaints regarding properties
Ontkean Update
Domain names discussion

Executive Session Summary

...
...

Adjournment

=====

I have tried to avoid editorializing here in the synopsis. I have been asked for a summation. But while I have a lot of opinions regarding what our RLS/HOA Board is doing in the name of the community, I want to keep the synopsis a record of just what was said at the meeting. See the forum section of the Community Web Page for summations.

You may need to sign up for the owner's area. It is easy. Just go here

<<http://lacamas-shores.com/owners/login.php>> and click the Click to Register button.

